Interpretation of Table: JAIL POPULATIONS: 4th Quarter '01 versus 4th Quarter '02

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

- 1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 3,642) and "total decrease" in ADP (-759). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 3,642 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 759 ADP. Subtracting 3,642 from 759 produces the overall increase of 2,883 between the fourth quarter of 2001 and the fourth quarter of 2002.
- 2. The Los Angeles ADP increase of 629 is 17.3% of the total increase of 3,642. Thirty-eight jurisdictions had increases (down to Del Norte Sheriff's Department) with three jurisdictions reporting no increase (Trinity, Sierra and Mono Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Mono Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 3,642).
- 3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Napa Corrections Department to Scapular House). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 759 inmates.
- 4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to Alameda Sheriff's Department) is 44.7%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 45% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (San Mateo and Contra Costa Sheriff's Departments and Scapular House) account for about 48% of the decreases. Please note that Scapular House will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey, which accounts for approximately 23% of the total decrease.